

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/965,416	09/27/2001	Richard Mertens	KST-02	3812
26875	7590 05/30/2003			
•	RRON & EVANS, LLP	EXAMINER		
2700 CAREV 441 VINE ST	REET	MORRIS, ANDREW P		
CINCINNAT	T, OH 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T A		[A 11 .47]	- Au
•		Application	1 N .	Applicant(s)	(11)
	Office Action Comments	09/965,416	;	MERTENS ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
	T. MAN 110 DA TT. C. I.	Andrew P N		2857	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	corresp ndence add	iress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the total period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailine department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even by within the statut will apply and will se, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cord (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	September 2	<u>001</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is r	on-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is
·	on of Claims				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application		_ i.d &:		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from cons	sideration.		
· ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	suirement		
-	on Papers	or election rec	quirement.		
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) 🔲 🗆	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) 🔲 d	bjected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ ap _l	oroved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Offic	ce action.		
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been	received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been	received in Application	on No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT R	tule 17.2(a)).		3tage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		·		application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional app	lication has been rec	eived.	
م (النارة) Attachment	•	ao priority uni	aci 00 0.0.0. 33 120	GIIG/OF TZ I.	
1) 🔀 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _			r (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC	

Application/Control Number: 09/965,416

Art Unit: 2857

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 is objected to because it does not include functional labels for elements 9 and 11. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 12 and 13 recite the limitation "number of sensors" in the second line of each of these claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. The parent claims, on which claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 12 and 13 depend, recite the existence of only a single sensor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

Art Unit: 2857

invention. The specification does not disclose how a 3-D image measurement of the contents of a blister package can be made using an optical sensor while the contents of the package are still contained within the package. It is the examiner's belief that the package will interfere with any attempt to create a 3-D measurement of the contents of the blister package through optical means.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear to the examiner what the applicant means by "an optical three-dimensional image detection sensor", which is presumably capable of generation an image of a substance contained within a package.
- 8. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481

(Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 1-13 recite the broad recitation "content of pockets of a blister package", and the claim also recites "pharmaceuticals" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Also, claim 6 recites the broad recitation "capacitive test probe", and the claim also recites "which preferably measures the induced dipol moment in any give volume of any material by means of a high frequent alternating field" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over) Nantel et al. (US Publication No. 2001/0052986) in view of Dam (US Patent No. 5,880,364).

In regard to claim 1, Nantel et al. disclose a blister package filling method that includes the step of filling a blister package with a predetermined volume (par. 5). Nantel et al. do not disclose supplying a detected volume value to an evaluation unit and comparing the detected value with a target value. Dam discloses a method for checking the content of a container that is filled with a liquid substance, said method comprising the steps of detecting a filled volume value of said substance by means of a sensor (col. 3, lines 63-66), supplying said detected volume value to an evaluation unit (col. 4, lines 46-50) and comparing said detected volume value with a volume target value by means of said evaluation unit (col. 4, lines 46-50. It would

Application/Control Number: 09/965,416

Art Unit: 2857

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by Nantel et al. to include the blister package content volume measurement of Dam in order ensure that the packages were being filled with the correct volume.

In regard to claim 2, Nantel et al., as modified above, disclose the step of displaying a comparison value derived from the comparison of said detected volume value with said volume target value by means of a display device (Dam, col. 4, lines 46-50).

In regard to claims 3 and 4, Nantel et al., as modified above, disclose the step of detecting each pocket of a blister package by means of a sensor (Dam, col. 6, lines 6-7). The combined references further provide for a plurality of sensors arranged in a line formation wherein the number of sensors in the line of sensors corresponds to the number of pockets in a package (Figure 3).

In regard to claim 5, Nantel et al., as modified above, disclose a multiple-sensor device wherein the number of sensors corresponds to the number of pockets in a blister package with either a single row or column (Dam, Figure 3).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nantel et al. (US Publication No. 2001/0052986) in view of Tyberg et al. (US Patent No. 6,270,726). Nantel et al. disclose a blister package filling method that includes the step of filling a blister package with a predetermined volume (par. 5). Nantel et al. do not disclose supplying a detected volume value to an evaluation unit and comparing the detected value with a target value. Tyberg et al. disclose a method for checking the content of a container that is filled with a liquid substance, said method comprising the steps of detecting a filled volume value of said substance by means of a sensor (col. 3, lines 14-20), supplying said detected volume value to an evaluation unit and

Art Unit: 2857

comparing said detected volume value with a volume target value by means of said evaluation unit (col. 6, lines 18-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by Nantel et al. to include the blister package content volume measurement of Tyberg et al. in order ensure that the packages were being filled with the correct volume.

11. Claims 7, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nantel et al. in view of Tyberg et al. as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Dam (US Patent No. 5,880,364).

In regard to claims 7 and 8, Nantel et al., as modified above by Tyberg et al., do not disclose a sensing device comprising a number of sensors that corresponds to the number of pockets in a row of the package. Dam discloses a volume-measuring device that has a number of sensors that corresponds to the number of pockets in a row of a blister package (Dam, Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Nantel et al. to implement a plurality of sensing devices, wherein the number of said sensing devices corresponded to the number of pockets in a row of a blister package, in order to make a quicker determination of the volume of the contents in each of the separate pockets of a blister package.

In regard to claim 9, Nantel, as modified above (in regard to claims 7 and 8), discloses a multiple-sensor device wherein the number of sensors corresponds to the number of pockets in a blister package that has either a single row or single column (Dam, Figure 3).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/965,416

Art Unit: 2857

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. De Caris et al. (US Patent No. 5,750,938) disclose a device that uses a capacitive

sensor to weigh the contents of a capsule.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew P Morris whose telephone number is (703) 605-4213.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marc S Hoff can be reached on (703) 308 1677. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7414 for regular

communications and (703) 746-7414 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

apm

May 20, 2003

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800